Performance evaluation of malaria Pf/Pv combo test kit at highly malaria-endemic area, Southern Ethiopia

Abstract

Background: Malaria rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) are alternative diagnostic methods that have enabled reliable biological diagnostic testing in all situations where previously only clinical diagnosis was available. Varying diagnostic accuracy of malaria RDTs makes policymakers confused while choosing malaria test kits for their country.

Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic performance of currently being used malaria RDT in Southern Ethiopia.

Methods: A cross-sectional study design was conducted from October 1 up to December 15, 2016. A total of 160 patients were included in the study. Finger prick blood sample was obtained from study subjects for RDT test and microscopic examination. Collected data were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 20.0.

Result: The test kit evaluated had an overall sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of 97.44%, 93.67%, 93.83%, and 97.37% respectively to detect the presence or absence of malaria. Sensitivity and specificity of the kit for P. falciparum detection was 63.27% and 94.3% and for P. vivax detection was 86.96% and 95.62% respectively. The agreement between microscopy and RDT for specific identification of malaria species was moderate with a kappa value of 0.568.

Conclusion: The overall performance of the kit was below WHO standard. Further study on a large sample size is recommended to be carried out in the study area to use the test kit instead of microscopy for malaria diagnosis. Providing training on quality malaria laboratory diagnosis and availing necessary supplies for malaria diagnosis shall also be considered.
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Introduction

In Ethiopia, malaria is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality. Almost 75% of the country is malarious and an estimated 51 million people (68% of the population) live in areas at risk of malaria [1]. It is a parasitic disease that is transmitted to humans by mosquitoes of the genus Anopheles [2]. It is one of a few diseases which have a quick, simple and accurate biological diagnostic method even in a low-technology setting [3]. The non-specific nature of sign and symptoms of malaria made a clinical diagnosis of the disease unreliable [4]. Microscopy remains the standard and preferred method of diagnosis compared to other methods despite its apparent drawbacks especially in sub-Saharan African Hospitals as it needs significant technical skills and good-quality reagents [4, 5].

RDTs are alternate diagnostic methods which enabled reliable biological diagnostic testing in situations where previously only clinical diagnoses were available, although it has varying diagnostic accuracy across different geographical regions [6, 7]. Their adoption needs to be guided by local sensitivity tests, to detect functional problems due to exposure to heat, humidity, and manufacturing faults [8, 9].

The ability of Care Start™ Malaria Pf/Pv Combo Test to diagnose Plasmodium malaria was very good, with 99.8% sensitivity and 97.7% specificity, according to the study conducted on acute febrile patients visiting the Felegeselam Health Center, North Ethiopia [10]. Similarly, sensitivity and specificity of the Care StartTM Malaria Pf/Pv Combo Test was 98.5% and 98.0% test for...
the diagnosis of P. falciparum and P. vivax infections according to a study done in the Afar Region, North East Ethiopia [11]. Even though Arbaminch and adjacent hot rural areas are one of the most highly malarious places in Ethiopia and using malaria RDTs as alternative diagnostic tool, its performance was not evaluated so far. This study aim to evaluate the diagnostic performance of Malaria RDT by determining its sensitivity and specificity compared with microscopy so that to help local malaria eradication program presenting the actual performance of currently used malaria RDT.

Materials and Methods

Study area

The study was conducted in Arbaminch General Hospital (AGH) and Arbaminch health center. Arbaminch town is located in Gamo Gofa zone of the southern nations, nationalities and people’s region (SNNPR) about 500 kilometers south of Addis Ababa. It is found at an altitude of 1200–1300 meters above sea level with an average annual temperature of 29.7°C and rainfall of 700 mm [12].

Study design and Period

A cross-sectional study design was employed from October 1 up to December 15, 2016.

Study participants

The study participants were all individuals who came to AGH and Arbaminch health center being suspected of malaria and have a request paper for malaria diagnosis at the respective laboratory unit.

Inclusion criteria

All age group patients with clinical signs and symptoms of malaria who presented to AGH and health center laboratory department for confirmation during the study periods were eligible for enrolment into the study.

Exclusion criteria

Clients who do not fulfill the inclusion criteria and refused participation were excluded from the study.

Sample size and Sampling Technique

Assuming confidence level of 95% so that an error risk of 1.96, an expected minimum sensitivity and specificity of all RDTs 95%, compared with microscopy according to World Health Organization [13], a margin of error of 5% plus an additional 10% of the sample to account for invalid and unclear results, a minimum sample of 80 participants were recruited. According to this assumption, a total of 160 study subjects (80 malaria positive and 80 malaria negative) were included in this study.

A convenient sampling technique was employed to obtain those study subjects.

\[ n = \frac{Z^2 \times P \times (1-P)}{d^2} = 80 \text{ (including 10% to account for invalid and unclear results) } \]

Where \( n \) = sample size, \( Z = 95\% \) confidence interval (1.96), \( D = \text{Margin of error (5%), P = Sensitivity or Specificity (95%).} \)

Data gathering procedures

Questionnaires were developed for the purpose of simple socio-demographic data. Formats were used for reporting parasite density and the laboratory result of malaria status by microscopy and RDT. They were prepared originally in English after reviewing relevant literature and then translated to Amharic. Pertest of the questionnaire for the clarity and consistency of questions was done and the necessary correction was made based on the findings of the pertest.

Sample collection and processing

A blood sample was obtained from eligible study subjects visiting Arbaminch general hospital and health center in the specified study period, having signs and symptoms of malaria and sent to laboratory diagnosis. From each study participant, a finger-prick blood sample was collected for malaria testing with both Care Start™ RDT and Microscope. Two blood films were prepared, the first blood film was processed at a hospital or health center laboratory set up as a routine way and the result at such facility setup was used as primary reading. Such primary result was recorded separately for the research purpose according to the pre-labeled identification number. The second blood film was dried and fixed (the thin film) and examined at Arbaminch College of health science teaching laboratory by the principal investigator for quality assurance purposes. Any discrepancy between primary reading and secondary reading was cleared by third-person final reading. The Care Start™ RDT test was done at site of sample collection by different laboratory personnel so that the one who did the microscopy do not know the result of the RDT.

Laboratory procedures

Rapid diagnostic testing for malaria

The available RDT kit was used in this study according to the manufacturer’s instructions. It is used for the qualitative detection of antigens produced by P. falciparum and p. vivax. These antigens are the Histidine Rich Proteine-2 (PfHRP2) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). Microscopy

Blood smears were stained with 10% Giemsa and examined using 100X oil immersion objective lens of a light microscope by two independent laboratory technologists (one at the hospital/health center and the other at the teaching school laboratory (AMCHS)) that are blinded to each other’s results.

Parasite density

Parasite density estimation was done by measuring the level of infection in red blood cells by examination of a thin blood film which is confirmed to be more accurate [14]. To quantify malaria parasites against RBCs, parasitized RBCs among 500-2,000 RBCs on the thin smear were counted and result expressed as percentage of parasitemia.

Methods of data analysis

Data collected from this study were entered and analyzed using SPSS version 20. The data include participant demographic information, clinical sign and symptom, parasite type, previous treatment history, and RDT result. Sensitivity, specificity, positive
predictive values (PPVs), and negative predictive values (NPVs), of the Care Start™ Malaria RDT were calculated. Kappa value was calculated to determine the agreement between the results of microscopy and the diagnostic test kit.

Data quality management
Fresh blood samples were transferred directly to the sample pad by the provided sample applicator. All Care Start™ malaria test kits were labeled with the patient ID number and the procedure and result recording period was according to the manufacturer’s instruction. To eliminate observer bias, quality control was done by the principal investigator at Arbaminch College of health science teaching laboratory blindly repeating all test results at the facility level.

Result
In this study a total of 160 study participants suspected of malaria examined for malaria parasites by thick/thin blood smear microscopy and Care Start™ combo RDT test kit. Of the total participants, 89 (55.6%) were males and 71 (44.4%) were females with ages ranging from 1 year to 70 years with a mean age of 24 and median 21 (Table 1) Of the entire 160 blood smear slides eligible for analysis, microscopy detected malaria parasites in 80 (50%) blood smear samples. Among microscopically examined blood smear samples of study participants, 51 (63.75%) were infected with P. falciparum, 23 (28.75%) were infected with P. vivax, and the remaining six (7.50%) were mixed infection with P. falciparum and P. vivax Figure 1.

Takings a thick blood smear as a gold standard test for malaria, the overall sensitivity, and specificity of Care StartTM RDT was found to be 97.44% (95% CI = 91.04% to 99.69%) and 93.67% (95% CI = 85.84% to 97.91%) respectively. The PPV and the NPV of the device was found to be 93.83% (95% CI = 86.18% to 97.97%) and 97.37% (95% CI = 90.82% to 99.68%), respectively. The agreement between the light microscopy and Care StartTM RDT to detect the presence or absence of the malaria parasite has a Kappa value of 0.877 (Table 2).

The Care StartTM RDT test kit was 63.27% (95% CI = 48.29% to 76.58%) sensitive and 94.50% (95% CI = 88.40% to 97.95%) specific to detect P. falciparum malaria. The PPV and NPV of Care StartTM RDT test kit to diagnose P. falciparum were 83.78% and 85.12% respectively. The corresponding sensitivity and specificity of Care Start for the diagnosis P. vivax malaria were 86.96% (95% CI = 66.41% to 97.22%) and 95.62% (95% CI = 90.71% to 98.38%), respectively, with 76.92% PPV and 97.76% NPV. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of Care start™ for the diagnosis of mixed infection (P. Falciparum and P. vivax) was 83.33%, 91.56%, 27.78%, and 99.30% respectively. Accordingly, the overall agreement between Light microscopy and Care Start™ malaria RDT test kit for specific identification of malaria species has a kappa value of 0.568 (Table 3).

On analysis of the diagnostic performance of the kit used by percent parasitemia, generally, the sensitivity of the kit increased when the percent parasitemia increase in the individual study subject. Assessment of sensitivities at percent parasitemia threshold below 0.049% were not displayed due to there is no positive test result by microscopy within that percent parasitemia range. Starting from 1.01% parasitemia up to the maximum percent parasitemia (2.85%), the care start RDT showed 100% sensitivity. Association of percent parasite load with RDT and Microscopy result is presented in Table 4.

Care Start TM combo test for the detection of P. falciparum had a sensitivity of 100% for study participants with percent parasitemia > 1.51%. As indicated in Table 5, the sensitivity of species identified in comparison to the standard light microscopy, Arbaminch 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Microscopy positive result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 5 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-15 years</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-30 years</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-45 years</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 45 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Age group distribution of the study participants, Arbaminch 2016.

Figure 1: Malaria species prevalence among microscopically confirmed positive cases, Arbaminch 2016.

Table 3: Performance characteristics of Care Start™ by species identified in comparison to the standard light microscopy, Arbaminch 2016.

Table 2: Overall performance characteristics of Care Start TM in comparison to the standard light microscopy, Arbaminch 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite type</th>
<th>Sensitivity% CI</th>
<th>Specificity% CI</th>
<th>PPV% CI</th>
<th>NPV% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For P. falciparum</td>
<td>63.27% [48.29 -76.58]</td>
<td>94.50% [88.40 -97.95]</td>
<td>83.78% [67.99 -93.81]</td>
<td>85.12% [77.51 -90.94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For P. vivax</td>
<td>86.96% [66.41-97.22]</td>
<td>95.62% [90.71-98.38]</td>
<td>76.92% [56.35-91.03]</td>
<td>97.76% [90.60-99.54]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For mixed infection</td>
<td>83.33% [35.88-99.58%]</td>
<td>91.56% [86.00 -95.43]</td>
<td>27.78% [9.69-53.48]</td>
<td>99.30% [96.14-99.98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall performance</td>
<td>97.44% [91.04 -99.69]</td>
<td>93.67% [85.84-97.91]</td>
<td>93.83% [86.18-97.97]</td>
<td>97.37% [90.82-99.68]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Table 4: Association between the sensitivity of Care Start™ and percent parasitemia, Arbaminch, 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Percent parasitemia</th>
<th>Sensitivity% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.049%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05% - 0.50%</td>
<td>97.44% [ 86.52 - 99.94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51% - 1.00%</td>
<td>96.15% [ 80.36 - 99.90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01% - 1.50%</td>
<td>100% [ 59.04 - 100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.51% - 2.00%</td>
<td>100% [ 15.81 - 100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.01%</td>
<td>100% [ 39.76 - 100]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Sensitivity and Specificity of Care Start™ Malaria Pf/ Pv Combo test for the diagnosis of malaria species infections at different levels of percent parasitemia, Arbaminch 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parapsite type</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range of Percent parasitemia</td>
<td>P. falciparum</td>
<td>P. vivax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 0.049%</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05% - 0.50%</td>
<td>80.77%</td>
<td>90.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51% - 1.00%</td>
<td>46.67%</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01% - 1.50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.51% - 2.00%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.01%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the test kit declined from 80.77% (for P. falciparum) and 90.91% (for P.vivax) to 46.67% and 75.00% respectively, even though the percent parasitemia increased.

Discussion

Using RDT devices to test the presence or absence of the malaria parasite has many advantages. Especially in countries like Ethiopia where the majority of the population living in rural parts of the country where access to electricity and infrastructures are limited, using RDTs as a diagnostic testing method as well as treatment monitoring method has a great advantage. Since the performance of these diagnostic testing methods may vary from population to population even in the same country, their diagnostic performance shall be tested locally to check their agreement with the WHO minimal sensitivity and specificity for any RDTs.

In this study, Care startTM combo test showed an overall sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of 97.44%, 93.67%, 93.83%, and 97.37% respectively. It showed a better performance when compared with the study conducted between China-Myanmar endemic borders with sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of malaria 89.68% and 98.26% respectively [15]. This better performance may be due to the better reference method they used to counter check the standard microscopy. In contrast, when compared with the study conducted on acute febrile patients visiting Felegeselam Health Center with 99.8% sensitivity and 97.7% specificity [10], our device showed poor overall performance.

Our device has shown almost comparable overall performance with studies carried out in north-west Ethiopia with an overall sensitivity of 95% and specificity of 94.2% [4] and at Serbo health center in Jimma zone, south-western Ethiopia with overall sensitivity 95.8% and specificity of 100% [1]. This comparable performance may be due to almost a similar method used for sampling as well as testing procedures.

This study has also tried to evaluate the performance of the Care Start™ RDT in detecting different species of malaria parasite. In this study, the sensitivity and specificity of Care Start™ RDT for the diagnosis of P. falciparum is 63.27% and 94.3% respectively which is very poor performance when compared to other studies with sensitivity and specificity of 99.4% and 98% in Wondo genet[16], 98.5% and 98.0% in Afar region[11], and 85.6% and 92% in Oromia Regional State [17], respectively. Variations in test sensitivity between these studies may be due to variations in epidemiologic characteristics of the study population, level of parasitemia, test methodology, and skill of microscopists [18].

Even though the sensitivity and specificity of Care Start TM RDT for the diagnosis of P. vivax was better than that of Pfalciparum, with 86.96% and 95.62% respectively, it has almost comparable performance with the study carried out at three health centers in Jimma zone, Oromia Regional State [17]. It showed poor performance when compared with other studies in Wondo Genet (99.4%, 98.2%) [16], Afar (100%, 99.6%) [11] and China-Myanmar (90.77%, 100%) [15]. Although the manufacturer’s instructions were strictly followed, the poor performance of this RDT kit in the current study could be due to the high false-positive results possibly because of the persistent nature of HRP-2 [19] and variation of the geographical regions [7].

Accordingly, even though there was a very good agreement between the light microscopy and Care Start TM RDT to detect the presence or absence of malaria parasite with a Kappa value of 0.877, the overall agreement between Light microscopy and Care Start TM malaria RDT for specific identification of malaria species is very poor with kappa value of 0.568.

The performance of Care Start TM RDT was noted to be significantly influenced by the level of percent parasitemia. The sensitivity and specificity of the RDT increased when the percent parasitemia increased for the diagnosis of P. vivax was better than that of P.falciparum, which is very poor performance when compared to other studies in Wondo genet [16].

Conclusion

Malaria RDT kits may be used in areas where there are no trained personnel, electricity, necessary equipment, and reagents which are necessary for malaria microscopy. Since the performance of RDT may vary with various factors such as loss of integrity of kits during transportation, deferent climate condition and /or inappropriate storage condition, frequent local sensitivity testing shall be carried out.

In this study, as the performance of currently used malaria RDT kit was poor for accurate diagnosis of malaria. It has also a drawback in correctly identifying malaria species of Pfalciparum and P.vivax. So, further studies should be carried out to use the Care Start™ Malaria Pf/Pv Combo test kit instead of microscopy for the diagnosis of malaria. Providing training on quality malaria laboratory diagnosis for the staffs and availing necessary supplies for malaria diagnosis shall also be considered. Gamo Gofa zone...
health department should select and purchase the right type malaria RDT which displays good performance by conducting area-specific performance evaluation tests.
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